

Will the De Minimis Doctrine Still Serve as a Defense in California Wage-and-Hour Claims?

In The News | 1 min read

Sep 4, 2018

Brandon Takahashi and Brian Noh recently published an article in *Law360* titled “An unclear path for defending Calif. Wage and hour claims.” Takahashi and Noh discuss whether the de minimis doctrine, long-held as a defense against wage-and hour claims brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, will still be applicable as a defense following the recent California Supreme Court decision in *Douglas Troester v. Starbucks Corporation*.

Takahashi and Noh describe how *Troester* represents a “proverbial fork in the road” for California courts: “the state of the de minimis doctrine in California as applied to state wage-and-hour claims will be unclear until either the California Courts of Appeal or the California Supreme Court issue further rulings in other matters, clarifying the parameters of this doctrine.”

[“An unclear path for defending Calif. Wage and hour claims”](#) (PDF)

Read [“An unclear path for defending Calif. Wage and hour claims,”](#) on the *Law360* website (*subscription required*)

Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP is a U.S.-based law firm with offices nationwide. The firm’s national reputation spans the insurance industry, the financial services sector, professional services, and other highly regulated industries. Hinshaw provides holistic legal solutions—from litigation and dispute resolution, and business advisory and transactional services, to regulatory compliance—for clients of all sizes. Visit www.hinshawlaw.com for more information and follow @Hinshaw on LinkedIn and X.

Related Capabilities

Labor & Employment

Related Locations

Los Angeles