

The Third Circuit Takes a More Expansive Approach to What Constitutes a Debt Collector under the FDCPA

1 min read

Mar 4, 2019

By: John P. Ryan

On February 22, 2019, the Third Circuit in [Barbato v. Greystone Alliance, LLC](#), issued a decision that expands the scope of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act's (FDCPA) definition of the term "debt collector" to any entity that acquires debt for the purpose of collection, but outsources the actual debt collection activity.

In *Barbato*, Defendant Crown Asset purchased Plaintiff's debt but referred the account to a third-party servicer for collection. The third-party servicer contacted Plaintiff regarding the debt. Crown Asset did not directly communicate with Plaintiff, but Plaintiff argued that Crown Asset qualified as a "debt collector." Crown Asset maintained it did not qualify as a "debt collector" because its principal business purpose is not the collection of debts. The FDCPA defines a "debt collector" as "any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts." The Third Circuit held that Crown Asset qualified as a "debt collector" even though it did not perform any debt collection. The Court concluded that a business is a debt collector under the FDCPA so long as it obtains payment on the debts it acquires in default, even though it hires another to perform the collection.

This ruling provides a different perspective on what entities qualify as debt collectors. For example, in [Dorrian v. LVNV Funding, LLC](#), the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court held that passive debt buyers like Crown Asset do not qualify as "debt collectors" under the Massachusetts Fair Debt Collection Practices Act's (MDCPA) definition, which tracks the FDCPA's definition. The Massachusetts Court determined because passive debt buyers have no employees and no contact with the debtors they are outside of Congress' core concerns in legislating the FDCPA. So we will have to watch which courts decide to follow the *Barbato* analysis and which ones apply the reasoning in *Dorrian*.

Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP is a U.S.-based law firm with offices nationwide. The firm's national reputation spans the insurance industry, the financial services sector, professional services, and other highly regulated industries. Hinshaw provides holistic legal solutions—from litigation and dispute resolution,

and business advisory and transactional services, to regulatory compliance—for clients of all sizes. Visit www.hinshawlaw.com for more information and follow @Hinshaw on LinkedIn and X.

Topics

Massachusetts, Federal, FDCPA, Debt Collection, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Debt Buyers, Debt Collector

Related Capabilities

Consumer Financial Services